1 2 3	Minutes Forensic Science Board Meeting May 11, 2011
4	Department of Forensic Science, Central Laboratory, Classroom 1
5 6 7	Board Members Present
7 8	Steven D. Benjamin
9	Leah Bush, M.D.
10	Dale Carpenter, Ph.D.
11	Alan Katz (Designee for Attorney General Cuccinelli)
12	Jo Ann Given
13	Kristen Howard (Designee for Senator Howell)
14	Caroline Juran
15	Senator Henry L. Marsh III
16	Decad March and Abarra
17	Board Members Absent
18 19	Colonel W. Steven Flaherty
20	Katya Herndon (Designee for Karl R. Hade)
20	Delegate William R. Janis
22	Sheriff A.A. Lippa Jr.
23	Raymond F. Morrogh
24	Garth L. Wheeler
25	
26	Legal Counsel for the Forensic Science Board
27	
28	Amy Dilworth
29	
30	Staff Members Present
31	
32	Wanda Adkins, Office Manager
33	Jeff Ban, Central Laboratory Director
34 25	David Barron, Ph.D. Technical Services Director
35	Donna Carter, Finance Manager
36	Doug Chandler, IT Manager
37	Ann Davis, Special Project Consultant
38 39	Leslie Ellis, Human Resources Director Linda Jackson, Chemistry Program Manager
39 40	Gail Jaspen, Chief Deputy Director
40 41	Brad Jenkins, Forensic Biology Program Manager
42	Alka Lohmann, Training and Calibration Program Manager
43	Pete Marone, Department Director
44	Stephanie Merritt, Department Counsel
45	Lisa Schiermeier-Wood, Section Supervisor, Forensic Biology
46	Steve Siegel, Deputy Director

- 47 Carisa Studer, Legal Assistant
- 48 Amy Wong, Northern Laboratory Director
- 49

#### 50 Call to Order by Vice-Chair Dr. Leah Bush

51

Vice-Chair Bush called the meeting of the Forensic Science Board ("Board") to order at 9:14 a.m. Chairman Morrogh was unable to attend the meeting. Therefore, Dr. Bush, Vice-Chair, presided over the meeting. Vice-Chair Bush remarked that Shawn Armbrust from the Mid-Atlantic Innocence Project (MAIP) would be coming to the meeting with Mr. Thomas Haynesworth, and that they had asked for an opportunity to make some brief remarks to the Board. A quorum was not present at the time, but Vice-Chair Bush suggested that the members in attendance receive the Director's Report.

59

60 **DFS Director's Report** 

61

Regarding facilities, Director Pete Marone informed the Board of both that the Central andEastern Laboratories expansion projects were on schedule.

64

65 Regarding the 30-60-90 day workload summary report, Director Marone informed the Board that

progress was being made on old cases in the last six months. Director Marone commented thatthe Department should be in a good place by the end of the year.

68

69 Director Marone informed the Board that the Department was moving forward with purchasing

70 more equipment for the Toxicology, Trace Evidence, and Controlled Substances sections. All

71 positions in the Toxicology section have been filled, and all should be qualified by the end of the 72 summer.

73

Director Marone explained to the Board that the Firearms Section and Latents Section will be experiencing turnover in the future due to upcoming retirements. Consequently, DFS has identified the need to start the hiring process because of the extensive training period. Also, the Department will be adding staff to the Forensic Biology Section using grant funds, with the expectation of moving any new position to general fund positions in the future.

79

Big Director Marone reviewed the grant programs that the Department receives, and gave an
overview of the grants funding the Forensic Biology section.

82

83 Senator Marsh asked if there was going to be a trend for greater staffing needs in the Forensic 84 Biology section in the future. Director Marone answered that with the addition of new 85 equipment and efficiency measures, the Department does not see a need for more staff. Senator Marsh added that the reason he asked was for legislative budget purposes. He would like to 86 87 know now if there are budget issues he can help with now before future needs arise. Director 88 Marone commented about past budget reductions not affecting staffing, but expressed interest in 89 possibly seeking to have some of the Forensic Science Academy funding reinstated. Senator 90 Marsh expressed concern over his impression that Congress would be reducing federal grants, 91 and its affects on the Department's ability to fulfill its needs. Director Marone explained he is in 92 contact with Congressional staff and is preparing the Department to absorb the DNA grant
 93 funded staff if needed. He is unaware of specific grant reductions at this time.

94

95 Mr. Benjamin asked when the Department has to submit its budget to the Governor's Office. 96 Director Marone answered that the Budget gets submitted in October. Mr. Benjamin suggested 97 to the Department to let the Board help if any additional funding will be needed. The Board, he 98 suggested, could help bring the matter to the General Assembly to review any budge requests.

99

100 Ms. Jaspen commented that the Department takes budget direction from the Governor's Office 101 and the Secretary's Office, which provide direction at times based on how it chooses among 102 The Department does its best to accommodate the process. Senator conflicting priorities. 103 Marsh agreed with Ms. Jaspen's comments, and asked the Department to reach out to him and 104 the Board in advance of the budge submission, and to let the Board know of any anticipated 105 needs. Ms. Jaspen commented that she understood the Board's interest and will express it to the 106 Secretary. Director Marone also commented that the Department has had fewer budget problems 107 compared to Forensic Science programs in other states. There is a chance that cuts in Federal 108 funding could be coming and will work with the Board if this happens.

109

110 Director Marone continued with his grant presentation, and reviewed the current grant 111 applications. A quorum became present, and the Board proceeded with approving the grant 112 applications.

113

Senator Marsh moved to approve the Solving Cold Cases with DNA, 2012 Highway Safety
Grant Program, and Continuation of two Byrne Justice Assistance Grants. Ms. Given seconded
the motion which was adopted by unanimous vote of the Board.

117

Ms. Given moved to approve the 2011 DNA Backlog Reduction Grant Program, 2011 Paul
Coverdell Grant Program, and 2011 Paul Coverdell Grant Program. Ms. Howard seconded the
motion which was adopted by unanimous vote of the Board.

121

# 122 <u>Adoption of Agenda</u>123

124 Vice-Chair Bush asked if there were any additions or changes to the draft agenda for the 125 meeting. Being none, Mr. Katz moved to adopt the agenda, which was seconded by Ms. Given 126 and adopted by unanimous vote of the Board.

127

# 128 Approval of Draft Minutes of January 5, 2011 Meeting

129

Vice-Chair Bush asked if there were any changes or corrections to the draft minutes from the
January 5, 2011 meeting. Being none, Senator Marsh moved to adopt the minutes of the January
5, 2011 meeting. Ms. Juran seconded the motion which was adopted by unanimous vote of the
Board.

135 Doar 134

### 135 **Public Comment**

136 At this time Vice-Chair Bush introduced Shawn Armbrust, Executive Director MAIP, to the

137 Board. She thanked the Board for their time and effort on the Post-Conviction DNA Program,

- 138 and introduced Mr. Thomas Haynesworth to the Board. Mr. Haynesworth expressed his
- appreciation and thanked the Board and the Department for all the efforts put forth on the Post-Conviction DNA Program.
- 141
- Mr. Benjamin thanked Mr. Haynesworth for taking the time to come and share his thoughts withthe Board. Mr. Benjamin commented on the important work of the Department and of the Board
- 144 for establishing the Post-Conviction DNA Program. He thanked everyone involved.
- 145
- Senator Marsh and Dr. Bush also thanked Mr. Haynesworth for his expression of appreciation tothe Department and the Board.
- 147

# 149 <u>Chairman's Report</u>150

- 151 Vice-Chair Bush made no report to the Board at this meeting.
- 152

#### 153 Update on General Assembly Legislation

154 Chief Deputy Director Jaspen provided the Board with an overview Public Safety legislation 155 enacted in the 2011 Session of the General Assembly. Ms. Jaspen gave an explanation of the 156 "Spice" bill that passed as emergency legislation, and became effective upon adoption.

- 157
- 158 The statute for the DNA data bank and disclosure of information to relevant persons other than
- 159 law enforcement officers was amended to include Commonwealth's Attorneys, US Attorneys,160 and the OCME. Also, the statue providing for the collection of DNA samples from persons
- 161 arrested or convicted of sex offenses was expanded as a public safety measure.
- 162
- A bill also passed allowing private police departments to submit evidence to the Department foranalysis.
- 165

### 166 Update on Impact of Melendez-Diaz Decision

Chief Deputy Director Jaspen provided an update of the impact on the Department of the 167 Melendez-Diaz decision, presenting graphs to demonstrate the effect on various sections over 168 169 time. The increased number of subpoenas received remains at an elevated plateau. The greatest 170 impact continues to be on the Controlled Substances Section, and it is not expected to diminish. 171 The Breath Alcohol Section continues to see its subpoena numbers return to pre-Melendez-Diaz. 172 levels. Dr. Bush inquired as to why the Controlled Substances Section was still above pre-173 Melendez-Diaz levels when Breath Alcohol has gone down. Director Marone answered that 174 Breath Alcohol had certification requirement wording removed from the Certificate of Analysis 175 so that the operators no longer testify about the Breath Instruments. The Controlled Substances section does not have a similar option. 176

177

Ms. Jaspen asked the Board if they would like for her to continue *Melendez-Diaz* updates at
future Board Meetings. There was no motion to continue reports.

- 180181 Old Business
- 182

183 Ms. Merritt, Department Counsel provided an update on <u>Regulations for Obtaining Information</u>

184 from the DNA Data Bank and Procedures for Verification and Authorization of Persons

- 185 <u>Requesting Information from the DNA Data Bank, 6 VAC 40-60.</u> Ms. Merritt explained to the
- 186 Board the Town Hall process and went over changes made to the regulation. There were
- 187 changes to page 2, line 69, and to page 3 lines 97-112.
- 188
- 189 Senator moved that the Board accept the changes to the Regulations as they appear. Ms. Given190 seconded the motion which was adopted by unanimous vote of the Board.
- 191

# Annual Election of Board Chair and Vice Chair 193

194 Vice-Chair Bush asked if there were any nominations for Board Chair. Ms. Given nominated 195 Ms. Juran; the nomination was seconded by Mr. Benjamin and passed by unanimous vote of the 196 Board Vice Chair Bush asked for nominations for Vice Chair. Mr. Benjamin nominated Dr.

Board. Vice-Chair Bush asked for nominations for Vice Chair. Mr. Benjamin nominated Dr.
Bush for a second term; the nomination was seconded Senator Marsh and passed by unanimous

- 198 vote of the Board.
- 199

Mr. Benjamin moved that the Board request the Scientific Advisory Committee (SAC) review and comment on the Department's proposed implementation of an alternative statistical calculation for DNA mixture cases. Senator Marsh seconded the motion. Ms. Given informed the Board that the SAC has a continuing DNA subcommittee that is reviewing the issue and may meet if they feel it is necessary. Mr. Benjamin withdrew his motion.

### 206 Scientific Advisory Committee Report

207

Ms. Given reported that the Biology Subcommittee will continue and expects comments from all members on both DNA Stochastic Threshold Implementation and Familial DNA. Comments need to be made by June 1, 2011. The subcommittee will meet if needed, and will report back to the SAC and Board

212

Ms. Given gave a review of the Chemistry Subcommittee report. The Subcommittee reviewed all training and procedures manuals, and made some recommendations for changes to the Department. All recommendations have already ready been implemented by the Department.

- The SAC established a Firearms Subcommittee and the members will begin to review training
  and procedures manuals for the upcoming SAC meetings.
- 219
- Ms. Given announced that she was re-elected to serve as SAC Chair, and that Jamie St. Clair waselected to serve as Vice-Chair.
- 222
- Mr. Benjamin commented on how well the SAC works with the Department and the Board, andthanked them for all their hard work.
- 225 226
- 227 Update on Familial DNA Searching

- Brad Jenkins, Biology Program Manager, updated the Board on the Department's progress on implementing Familial DNA searching with a presentation. Mr. Jenkins gave examples during his presentation, including how the screening process for cases was going to be handled, and explained the Department's policy.
- 232

Dr. Bush inquired about slide 5 and why the Department was going to be able to perform searches at a cheaper estimated cost than other searches. Mr. Jenkins explained that the Department would be able to do it for a more reasonable cost because of the consolidated list of samples.

237

Ms. Juran asked when the Department was going to begin accepting requests for Familial DNA
searches. Mr. Jenkins responded that the Department went live with accepting requests about a
month prior to the Board meeting. Ms. Juran asked if the Department can search the National
Databank or if searches are limited to the Virginia Databank. Mr. Jenkins answered that
searches are limited to the Virginia Databank.

243

Ms. Howard asked if the Department has yet to receive any request for Familial DNA searches. Ms. Jaspen answered that like all other case work, the Department is not at liberty to say if it performing Familial DNA searches in any actual cases. Ms. Howard asked if the Department could say anything at all about doing Familial DNA searches. Ms. Jaspen responded that, hypothetically, if she indicated that DFS had performed a familial search upon request, it might be easy at this time for the public to figure out what case or cases were being worked..

250

Mr. Benjamin commented that he has in fact observed that particular prosecutors and law
enforcement officials who have an interest in Familial DNA searches have come to the
Department.

254

Kristen Howard commented that every year more individuals are added to the databank, and she asked if the Department would conduct repeated searches. Mr. Jenkins answered that under the Department's manual, they reconsider the search every 12 months just like in California. Ms. Howard asked if there would be a priority level for searches. Mr. Jenkins answered that he is anticipating for it to be the same.

260

Mr. Benjamin commented about his continued support for Familial DNA testing. He commented about how this technology is not without some controversy, and acknowledged the public's fear that Familial DNA is an invasion of privacy. Mr. Benjamin suggested that additional language could be added to policy to help with public fears. Mr. Benjamin noted that the Department and law enforcement do not plan on using Familial DNA as evidence in a case, but rather it is only for developing a lead in a case. It does not require any citizen to give a sample. It is a tool of police work.

268

Mr. Marone agreed with Mr. Benjamin's comments on public fears. He also commented on Familial DNA searches possibly becoming overly burdensome for Commonwealth's Attorneys and Law Enforcement involved in these cases. Familial DNA cases are going to be done with a purpose and on a limited number of cases.

273

- Mr. Benjamin commented that he would like to hear from any person who maintains this is a violation of privacy.
- 276
- Ms. Juran commented that if the Department is going to be sources of information to the public
  about Familial DNA searches than should the Department create literature for the public about it.
- 279
- 280 Mr. Marone answered that was a legal question not a science question, so it was not for the281 Department to answer.
- 282
- Ms. Juran asked who the appropriate source was and does the Department anticipate receivinglots of inquiries for Familial DNA searches.
- 285
- 286 Mr. Benjamin ended the discussion commenting that these were all good questions for the Board287 and the Department to think about.
- 288

## 289 Update on Stochastic Thresholds (ST)

Brad Jenkins, Biology Program Manager, updated the Board on the Department's progress on implementing Stochastic Thresholds (ST) with a presentation. Mr. Jenkins gave a definition and explanation of ST. He gave an extensive explanation of SWGDAM guidelines, and the Department's implementation of the new nation guidelines to change certain DNA population statistic calculations. The training of staff will be done internally over the summer of 2011. The Department has identified 375 cases that will be receiving the new calculations. Mr. Jenkins expects all changes to fall in place over the summer.

297

Mr. Benjamin asked if there was a plan for distribution of supplemental reports for the 375 cases discussed, and where are they sent. Ms. Merritt answered that they will be handled like all certificates of analysis, and they will be sent to the investigating officer.

301

Mr. Benjamin asked if notifications were going to be necessary. Mr. Marone answered that the
 Department is currently trying to define categories for the cases, for example have they gone to
 trial or waiting court date. Each case is going to be different.

305

Mr. Benjamin asked about the cases where the person mentioned in the certificate may have already been tried and convicted. Ms. Merritt explained that the Department is not notified of the outcome of litigation. It would be more practical for a defense attorney to submit a 9.1-1104 request for all certificates of analysis.

310

Mr. Benjamin commented on the memo sent to Commonwealth's Attorneys in regards to the change in calculations. He suggested that it would also be important to inform the Defense Bar to receive the memo. He volunteered to post the notification to the Defense Bar.

314

# 315 **Post-Conviction DNA Testing Program and Notification Project**

316 Notification Subcommittee Chair Kristen Howard updated the Board training sessions for the

- 317 pro-bono attorneys. The Mid-Atlantic Innocence Project has assisted with the first 3 sessions.
- 318 20 out of 59 attorneys that attended the training have taken case assignment. They have been
- 319 receiving good leads on finding address information. The Westlaw database is up and working.

#### 320

321 Regarding notification and testing updates, Ms Jaspen informed the Board that there have been 322 356 confirmed suspect notifications to date. There are 497 undelivered notifications. There has 323 been significant progress in the testing program since 2009. There are 783 cases with evidence 324 and a named suspect confirmed convicted of a felony crime against a person. Final completed 325 case results have been returned in 636 cases. To date, there are 636 cases in which certificates of 326 analysis have been issued. For suspects who meet NIJ criteria, 66 suspects were determined not 327 indicated or eliminated on the tested evidence. Among suspects who do not meet NIJ criteria, 30 328 were not indicated or eliminated.

329

Ms. Jaspen informed the Board that phase 1 of the post-conviction testing has been completed
and all certificates of analysis have mailed to law enforcement. Phase 2 of the program has
already begun. These cases include the new NIJ eligibility criteria which includes violent felony
offenses.

334

Mr. Benjamin asked of the Phase 2 cases where did the 30 suspects not indicated or eliminated
 come from. Ms. Jaspen and Ms. Schiermeier-Wood explained further about Phase 2 and how the
 cases were counted verses the number of suspects.

- 339 <u>New Business</u>
- 340
- 341 None 342

#### 343 Public Comment

344345 None

346

#### 347 <u>Next Meeting</u>

348349 The Forensic Science Board will meet on Wednesday, August 10, 2011 at 9 a.m.

#### 351 Adjournment

352

350

353 Ms. Given moved that the meeting of the Board be adjourned, which was seconded by Ms.

- 354 Howard and passed by unanimous vote.
- 355
- The meeting adjourned at 11:35 a.m.
- 357